## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

	-
CAO LIGHTING, INC.,  Plaintiff,  v.  GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, CONSUMER LIGHTING (U.S.), LLC d/b/a GE LIGHTING, and CURRENT LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC,  Defendants.	C.A. No. 20-681-GBW
VERDICT	FORM
In answering the below questions, you are to follo	w all of the Court's jury instructions.
I. INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT	T NO. 6,465,961
You must answer Question Nos. 1 and	2.
sold, offered for sale, or imported	a preponderance of the evidence that products into the United States by GE Lighting—or its pany—infringed any Asserted Claim of the '961
Checking "yes" below is a finding Checking "no" below is a finding f	for CAO Lighting. for GE Lighting and General Electric.
Claim 21 (Independent) Y	es No
	es _/ No
Claim 36 (Dependent) Y	res No

2	Solutions—a limited liability General Electric—infringed a Checking "yes" below is a fin	ported into the Unite company that was my Asserted Claim of ding for CAO Lighting	d States by Current Lighting previously wholly-owned by the '961 patent?
	Checking "no" below is a find	aing for Current Light	ing Solutions.
	Claim 21 (Independent)	Yes	No
	Claim 32 (Dependent)	Yes _	No
	Claim 36 (Dependent)	Yes _/	No
1		below.  lighting, and Current eviously wholly-owne	Lighting Solutions—a limited ad by General Electric—proven ad Claim of the '961 patent is
	Checking "yes" below indica Current Lighting Solutions. Checking "no" below indicat		eral Electric, GE Lighting, and Lighting.
	Claim 21 (Independent)	Yes	No /
	Claim 32 (Dependent)	Yes	No /
	Claim 36 (Dependent)	Yes	No /

П.

## III. DAMAGES (IF APPLICABLE)

You are only to answer Question Nos. 4-7 below if you have found an Asserted Claim of the '961 patent to be infringed and not invalid.

4. If you have found that GE Lighting—or its predecessor General Electric Company—has infringed an Asserted Claim of the '961 patent and that that Asserted Claim is not invalid, on what date was CAO Lighting first entitled to damages from GE Lighting?

Date: May 20th, 2020

5. If you have found that GE Lighting—or its predecessor General Electric Company—has infringed an Asserted Claim of the '961 patent and that that Asserted Claim is not invalid, what is the total amount CAO Lighting has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to be paid?

Amount (in US dollars): \$500,000.00

6. If you have found that Current Lighting Solutions—a limited liability company that was previously wholly-owned by General Electric—has infringed an Asserted Claim of the '961 patent and that that Asserted Claim is not invalid, on what date was CAO Lighting first entitled to damages from Current Lighting Solutions—a limited liability company that was previously wholly-owned by General Electric?

Date: September 21 9 2020

7. If you have found that Current Lighting Solutions—a limited liability company that was previously wholly-owned by General Electric—has infringed an Asserted Claim of the '961 patent and that that Asserted Claim is not invalid, what is the total amount CAO Lighting has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to be paid?

Amount (in US dollars): \$1,500,000.00

Dated: February 11th 2023

REDACTED